Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/966,179	GETTENS, NANCY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vergot	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	wed
(1) Mathieu D. Vargot (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Maccarone (Atty).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:   Glephonic     Video Conference     Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)     E-chital Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No     If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 1 was amended to clarify what the variables in the equation are—see attached Exam Amendt.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate mood of the substance of the isterview, since the isterview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separater excord of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
: 		
Nather B. Varget	9	
	icant/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)
US Patest and Tredemark Office PTOL-4138 (04-03) Examiner	nitiated Interview Summary	Paper No. 20040120

Paper No. 20040120